

**ORIGINAL RESEARCH** 

# Women's Knowledge and Opinions on Midwife-Led Continuity Preconception Care and Counseling

Zeliha Burcu Yurtsal 🔍, Öznur Hasdemir\* 🔍

Department of Midwifery, Faculty of Health Sciences, Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Türkiye

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

**Received:** 21 November 2024 **Accepted:** 14 March 2025

#### **KEYWORDS**

Counseling Leadership Midwifery Preconception Care Public Health

\*Correspondence: Mail: karakoseoznur@gmail.com

#### HOW TO CITE

Yurtsal ZB, Hasdemir O (2025) Women's Knowledge and Opinions on Midwife-Led Continuity Preconception Care and Counseling, Journal of Health Sciences Institute, 10(1): 33-39

#### ABSTRACT

This study was aimed at determining the knowledge and opinions of women about the midwife-led continuity preconception care and counseling (MLCPCC). The research is of descriptive type. The sample of the study consisted of 178 women aged 18-49 years. The study data were collected online the Personal Information Form and Form to Assess Women's Knowledge and Opinions of MLCPCC. Of the women participating in the study, 29.2% were in the age group of 30-34 years, 70.2% were married, 75.3% were at least university graduates, and 12.9% received preconception care and counseling. Of those who received preconception care and counseling, 6.7% received preconception care and counseling from midwives. The mean score the participants obtained from the Form to Assess Women's Knowledge and Opinions of MLCPCC was 41.97 ± 9.22 (Min-Max: 0-48). The participants' descriptive characteristics and pregnancy experience-related characteristics did not affect the scores they obtained from the Form to Assess Women's Knowledge and Opinions of MLCPCC (p> 0.05). Although most of the participants were higher education graduates, they did not receive preconception care and counseling, which is quite surprising. The fact that the mean scores they obtained from the Form to Assess Women's Knowledge and Opinions of MLCPCC were high suggests that they supported MLCPCC, which plays a significant role in the development of women and community health.

Copyright © 2025 European Journal of Multidisciplinary Health Sciences. This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

## Introduction

In many parts of the world, midwives are perceived as the primary care providers of women of reproductive age (ten Hoope-Bender et al., 2014). According to the World Health Organization, Midwife-Led Continuity Care is defined as the provision of care and counseling to women in line with their needs by the same midwife or midwife group (WHO, 2016). In the Midwife-Led Continuity Care model, midwives take part in the planning, organizing and providing of the care from the start of preconception to the postnatal period as pioneering professionals (Czeizel, 2012; Sandall et al., 2016). One of these midwife-led care services provided in every period of the life of women is preconception care and counseling (Czeizel, 2012).

Preconception care is the primary protective health care that supports the preparation of women for pregnancy and the healthy maintenance and termination of pregnancy, and is aimed at contributing to new generations' being made up of healthier individuals (Beckmann et al., 2014; Shawe et al., 2015). It is known that preconception care creates a preliminary preparation for a healthy pregnancy which reduces mortality and morbidity rate among mothers and babies during labor and postpartum, increases mother and infant health in high- and low-income countries, prevents unwanted and unplanned pregnancies, and contributes to the development of fertility awareness and pregnancy planning culture (Karakaya and Coşkun, 2013; Dean et al., 2014; Başli and Aksu, 2018). Within this context, it is thought that midwife-led continuity preconception care and counseling (MLCPCC) can contribute to the improvement of women's health. In this approach, midwives advocate the rights of women, plan their care by assessing their needs, provide training and counseling to them, and refer them to appropriate units when necessary (Czeizel, 2012; Beckmann et al., 2014; Shahid et al., 2014; Sandall et al., 2016).

In MLCPCC, the aim is to increase women's satisfaction by focusing on holistic and humanistic principles. According to the philosophy of such care and counseling, the woman is in the center and benefits from individualized care services uninterruptedly (Sandall et al., 2016; WHO, 2016). For instance, in some countries such as Australia, New Zealand, the Netherlands, England and Ireland, prepregnancy, pregnancy, birth and postpartum services are carried out uninterruptedly under the leadership of midwives (Shahid et al., 2014; Shawe et al., 2015; Sandall et al., 2016). In studies conducted on Midwife-Led Continuity Care (MLCC), it was reported that women used less painkillers, that episiotomy, caesarean section and perinatal mortality rates and women's fear and stress levels decreased, that spontaneous birth rates increased, that women had high levels of sense of control and satisfaction at birth and during the postpartum period, and that not unnecessary interventions but natural methods were supported (ten Hoope-Bender et al., 2014; Forster et al., 2016; McLachlan et al., 2016; Sandall et al., 2016; Wiegerinck et al., 2018; Hanahoe, 2020; Vincent et al., 2022).

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and World Health

Organization maintain the view that when women are reached before pregnancy, their preconception knowledge, attitudes and behaviors should be improved and all women of reproductive age should be able to receive healthcare both of which are among the objectives of preventing the complications likely to occur after birth (WHO,2013; ACOG, 2019; CDC, 2022). Within this context, it is thought that MLCPCC can support the goals aimed at developing the health of women in particular and that of community in general, and that it can make positive contributions to the mother-child health. Midwife-led continuity care model which has been proved to have both individual and social benefits by several studies should be used in preconception care and counseling and women's awareness of its benefits should be raised. Thus, studies in which women's knowledge and opinions about MLCPCC are determined should be conducted. The present study was conducted to determine women's knowledge and opinions about the midwife-led continuity preconception care and counseling.

#### **Material and Methods**

#### Aim and Type of Research

This study was conducted to determine women's knowledge and opinions on midwife-led pre-pregnancy care and counseling. The research is descriptive in type.

#### **Research Population and Sample**

The population of this descriptive study comprised women of reproductive age who were registered in three family health centers in a province located in the Central Anatolian region of Turkey, were selected by the lottery method, were in the age group of 18-49 years, accepted to participate in the study, were not pregnant, had no psychiatric/psychological diagnosis, who had internet access, were able to use the internet, a computer, tablet and/or smartphone, had neither communication nor language problems. The number of 18-49-year-old women of reproductive age who were registered in the aforementioned family health centers was 17,899. The minimum sample size of the study was calculated as 163 using the OpenEpi Version 3 program (N: 17899, p: 50%+/-5, confidence limits (d) =±0.05, confidence level: 80%) (Dean et al., 2021). Considering the possibility of losses during the study, we included 178 women in the sample.

#### **Data Collection and Analysis**

The study data were collected using the Personal Information Form and Form to Assess Women's Knowledge and Opinions of MLCPCC between July 2021 and September 2021. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, data were collected via online surveys (Google Forms). It took the participants approximately 5-10 minutes to fill in the online form.

Personal information form: In the form developed by the researchers based on the literature (ten Hoope-Bender et al., 2014; Sandall et al., 2016; Gökdemir and Eryılmaz, 2017; Başli and Aksu, 2018) there are 12 items questioning the participants' age, education status, occupation status, income status, and obstetric history.

Form to assess women's knowledge and opinions of the midwife-led continuity preconception care and counseling (MLCPCC): The form developed by the researchers based on the literature (ten Hoope-Bender et al., 2014; Shawe et al., 2015; Sandall et al., 2016; Gökdemir and Eryılmaz, 2017; Başli and Aksu, 2018; Wiegerinck et al., 2018; Hanahoe, 2020; Vincent et al., 2022) includes 24 questions asked to determine the participants' knowledge and opinions about MLCPCC. Responses given to the questions are rated as follows: agree: 2, no idea: 1 and disagree: 0. The higher the score obtained from the form is, the higher the participant's knowledge level is and the more favorable her opinion of MLCPCC is.

## **Statistical Analysis**

The study data were analyzed using the IBM SPSS Statistics 22 statistical package program. In the descriptive statistics, percentage, arithmetic mean, standard deviation, median, minimum and maximum values were used. Shapiro-Wilk normality test and Q-Q plots were used to determine whether the data were normally distributed. Because the data were not normally distributed, the Mann-Whitney U test was used in comparisons of two independent groups, and the Kruskal-Wallis test was used in comparisons of more than two independent groups. Statistical significance level was accepted as p<0.05.

## Results

Of the women participating in the study, 29.2% were in the age group of 30-34 years, 70.2% were married, 75.3% were at least university graduates, 53.4% did not work in any paid job, 15.7% did not have social security, 54.5% stated that they had income equal to their expenses, and 77.5% had a nuclear family (Table 1).

Of them, 37.7% had never been pregnant, 40.4% had never given birth. Of the women who gave birth, 33.4% underwent a cesarean section, 12.9% received preconception care and counseling. Of those who received preconception care and counseling, 6.7% received preconception care and counseling from midwives. (Table 2).

The analysis of the participants' knowledge and opinions of Midwife-led Continuity Preconception Care and Counseling demonstrated that that most of them (66.9%-89.3%) agreed with the items in the MLCPCC form (Table 3).

The responses the participants gave to the questions in the MLCPCC form are rated as "agree: 2", "no idea: 1" and "disagree: 0". The mean score they obtained from the form was 41.97±9.22 (min-max: 0-48). The participants' descriptive characteristics (Table 4) and pregnancy experience-related characteristics (Table 5) did not affect the scores they obtained from the Form to Assess Women's Knowledge and Opinions of MLCPCC (p> 0.05).

Table 1.	Descriptive	characteristics	of	the	participating
women (	n=178)				

<b>Descriptive chara</b>	n	%	
	18-24	37	20.8
	25-29	41	23.0
Age (years)	30-34	52	29.2
	35-49	48	27.0
Marital status	Single	53	29.8
ivialital status	Married	125	70.2
	Primary school	3	1.7
	Junior high	8	4.5
Educational	school		
status	Senior high	33	18.5
Status	school		
	University and	134	75.3
	above		
Employment	Not employed	95	53.4
status	Employed	83	46.6
Having social	No	28	15.7
security	Yes	150	84.3
	Income less than	41	23.0
	expenses		
Perceived	Income equal to	97	54.5
income status	expenses		
	Income more	40	22.5
	than expenses		
Family	Nuclear family	138	77.5
structure	Extended family	40	22.5
Total		178	100.0

Table 2. Pre	egnancy e	xperience-rel	lated cl	haracteristics	of
the participa	ating wom	nen (n=178)			

Characteristics		n	%
	Nulligravidae	67	37.7
The number of	1	45	25.3
nregnancies	2	38	21.3
pregnancies	3	19	10.7
	4	9	5.1
	Nulliparae	72	40.4
	1	50	28.1
The number of deliveries	2	42	23.7
	3	12	6.7
	4	2	1.1
	Vaginal	39	23.1
	Caesarean	56	33.4
Mode of delivery	section	50	55.4
(n=106)	Vaginal and		
	caesarean	11	6.5
	section		
Receiving preconception	No	155	87.1
care and counseling	Yes	23	12.9
	Those who		
	never received	155	87.1
The person from whom	counseling		
preconception care and	Midwife	12	6.7
counseling was received	Nurse	3	1.7
	Physician	6	3.4
	Others	2	1.1
Total		178	100.0

Tablo 3. Participating women's knowledge and opinions about Midwife-Led Continuity Preconception Care and Counseling (MLCPCC)					
Women's knowledge and opinions	C	Disagree	No idea	Agree	
If I decide to have children by receiving MICPCC. I think it can improve my pre-pregnancy health	n	9	12	157	
in raceide to have children by receiving when ee, raminer can improve my pre-pregnancy nearth.	%	5.1	6.7	88.2	
I think I can have a health checkup before I get pregnant if I receive MI CPCC.	n	8	13	157	
	%	4.5	7.3	88.2	
If I get pregnant after receiving MLCPCC. I think I will have a healthy pregnancy.	n	13	10	155	
	%	7.3	5.6	87.1	
I think I will have adequate knowledge of childcare before I become pregnant if I receive MLCPCC.	n	19	13	146	
	%	10.7	7.3	82.0	
I think that risk factors such as anemia, diabetes, Rh incompatibility, breast cancer etc. can be	n	11	10	157	
determined before getting pregnant if I receive MLCPCC.	%	6.2	5.6	88.2	
I think I will have a happy and fulfilling sex life if I receive MLCPCC.	n	39	23	116	
Labial Ludi en side union additional supplements (utenzia predicine - Falia Asid (Vitenzia DO etc.) 2 (Abase)	%	21.9	12.9	65.2	
T think I will consider using additional supplements (vitamin medicine, Folic Acid/ vitamin B9 etc.) 3 (three)	n 0/	20	13	145 01 E	
Thomas before getting pregnant in receive Millerce.	70 20	0	1.5	150	
nregnant if I receive MI CPCC	%	51	5.6	123	
	70 n	20	17	1/1	
I think I will consider getting genetic counseling before getting pregnant if I receive MLCPCC.	%	11.2	9.6	79.2	
I think I will consider getting a screening (cervical cancer screening, HIV, Hepatitis B, Syphilis, etc.)	n	13	15	150	
before I get pregnant if I receive MLCPCC.	%	7.3	8.4	84.3	
I think that I will have enough knowledge about the effect of chronic diseases on the pregnancy	n	10	11	157	
process and the necessary treatment if I receive MLCPCC.	%	5.6	6.2	88.2	
Lthink Lwill gain awaranaas of fartility if Lrassive MLCDCC	n	14	10	154	
T CHINK T WIII gain awareness of Terclinty II Treceive Millerce.	%	7.9	5.6	86.5	
I will think about planning to get pregnant whenever I want and using family planning methods	n	16	12	150	
effectively if I receive MLCPCC.	%	9.0	6.7	84.3	
I think that I will have enough knowledge about healthy eating if I receive MICPCC	n	15	14	149	
Think that I will have chough knowledge about healthy cating if Treeewe WEEFCC.	%	8.4	7.9	83.7	

**Table 4.** Distribution of the scores the participating women obtained from the Form to Assess Women's Knowledge and Opinions of

 Midwife-Led Continuity Preconception Care and Counseling according to their descriptive characteristics

Descriptive characteristics	n	X±SD	Med (Min-Max)
Age (years)			
18-24	37	39.54±11.22	45 (0-48)
25-29	41	40.61±11.19	46 (0-48)
30-34	52	43.46±7.55	46 (14-48)
35-49	48	43.4±6.66	46 (24-48)
Test*		KW=2.849 p=0.411	
Marital status			
Single	53	41.47±10.52	46 (0-48)
Married	125	42.18±8.65	46 (0-48)
Test**		Z=-0.548 p=0.584	
Educational status			
High school and below	44	40.41±10.43	45.5 (0-48)
University and above	134	42.49±8.77	46 (0-48)
Test**		Z=-1.228 p=0.219	
Employment status			
Not employed	95	41.13±9.34	45 (0-48)
Employed	83	42.94±9.05	47 (0-48)
Test**		Z=-1.780 p=0.075	
Social security			
No	28	41.25±8.42	46 (22-48)
Yes	150	42.11±9.38	46 (0-48)
Test**		Z=-0.916 p=0.360	
Perceived income status			
Income less than expenses	41	41.24±11.39	46 (0-48)
Income equal to expenses	97	41.7±9.02	46 (0-48)
Income more than expenses	40	43.38±7.06	46 (14-48)
Test*		KW=0.646 p=0.724	
Family structure			
Nuclear family	138	42.29±9.27	46 (0-48)
Extended family	40	40.88±9.09	46 (10-48)
Test**		Z=-1.298 p=0.194	

\*Kruskal Wallis test was used, \*\*Mann-Whitney U test was used.

#### Discussion

In the present study, of the participating women who gave birth, 33.4% underwent a cesarean section, and only 12.9% received preconception care and counseling. Of those who received preconception care and counseling, 6.7% received preconception care and counseling from midwives. In a study in which interventions to reduce unnecessary cesarean sections in healthy women and babies were investigated, the researchers determined that midwife-led care was associated with higher rates of physiological births, safer outcomes, and lower healthcare costs and positive motherhood experiences in highincome countries compared to control groups not having undergone these interventions (Betran et al., 2018). In a systematic review and meta-analysis study, organizational reforms supporting the midwife-led continuous care in maternity wards were determined to reduce cesarean rates (Chapman et al., 2019). The comparison of the results of the aforementioned studies with those of the present study revealed that cesarean section rates were higher in the present study, which was probably because most of the participants in the present study did not receive midwife-led preconception care and counseling. On the other hand, although most of the participants were higher education graduates, 87.1% of them did not receive preconception care and counseling is quite noteworthy, which indicates that MLCPCC services are not yet at the desired level for women to access.

According to the data in the Health Statistics Yearbook published by the Ministry of Health in Turkey (2019), the number of midwives and nurses per 100 thousand people is 306 in Turkey. This supports the present study findings indicating that the number of midwives and nurses in Turkey is insufficient in providing health services. In a Cochrane systematic review, it was demonstrated that midwife-led care model was more effective than doctorled care models for low-risk women during labor because in the former model, there was less intervention, outcomes were better, and maternal and neonatal mortality and morbidity rates were lower (Sandall et al., 2016). In addition, in the report on midwifery in the world, according to the World Health Organization and the International Confederation of Midwives (2021) stated that it was confirmed that an estimated 4.3 million lives could be saved annually by 2035 by increasing the number of midwives and the quality of care they provide. For instance, midwife-led care provided in obstetric units has been reported to have a significant success in placing Sweden among the 5 countries with the best maternal and newborn outcomes in the world (Lindgren and Erlandsson, 2022).

The International Confederation of Midwives (2023) also made such announcement as "Trust the Evidence: Invest in Midwives" and emphasized the importance of investing in midwives. It has also been reported that preconception care and counseling is an area of intervention that reduces poor perinatal outcomes, promotes health by risk assessment, prevents unwanted pregnancies, and forms the basis of a healthy society (Fowler et al., 2022). The results of the present study regarding the participants' knowledge and opinion indicating that MLCPCC would prepare them better for a healthy pregnancy, that it might ensure the identification of the risk factors before pregnancy, and that it would reduce pre-pregnancy disease burden, maternal and infant mortality rates are consistent with the results of the aforementioned studies. In a study, the researchers concluded that midwife-led care yielded positive results regarding birth control, immunization, and psychosocial and public health. In the same study, it was emphasized that when midwifery care is provided by trained, licensed and supervised midwives, resources are used more efficiently, which leads to better results (Renfrew et al., 2014). The results of the present study indicating that most of the participants would establish an effective communication based on empathic understanding, that they would be supported psychosocially and gain awareness of fertility, that they could plan to become pregnant whenever they want to and use family planning methods effectively, that they would have sufficient knowledge about vaccines, and that they thought that care and counselling would be less costly thanks to the MLCPCC are consistent with the results of the aforementioned studies.

In the literature, midwives' ability to cooperate with women through the continuity of care is considered important in terms of guiding women throughout the system, which is argued that this situation can help women to make conscious decisions by supporting them to state their needs clearly (Fox et al., 2023). In the present study, most of the participants thought that they would establish an effective communication based on empathic understanding, which supports the view in the previous statement. In a randomized controlled study conducted with 20-40-year-old women of reproductive age, it was reported that the provision of health care and counseling on reproductive health before pregnancy raised the intervention group's awareness of factors such as guitting tobacco use which affects health, avoiding alcohol, being in normal weight and starting to use folic acid before pregnancy. In the same study, they determined that women's knowledge about fertility and health awareness before pregnancy increased (Skogsdal et al., 2019). In the present study, the participants were in similar age groups and most of them thought that their knowledge and opinions about MLCPCC would help them develop healthy life style behaviors (regular exercise, not smoking, not consuming alcohol, having a balanced nutrition, etc.) and would encourage them to take additional supplements three months before pregnancy (folic acid/ vitamin B9, etc.), which are consistent with the findings in the literature. That the mean score the participants obtained from the Form to Assess Women's Knowledge and Opinions of MLCPCC was high also suggests that the participants supported the MLCPCC which contributes to the development of women and community health, and that they could benefit from it.

## Conclusion

Although most of the participants were higher education graduates, 87.1% of them did not receive preconception care and counseling, which is quite surprising. That the mean score the participants obtained from the Form to Assess Women's Knowledge and Opinions of MLCPCC was high also suggests that the participants supported the MLCPCC which contributes to the development of women and community health, and that they thought they could benefit from MLCPCC. The midwife-led continuity preconception care and counseling model which has been proved to have many individual and social benefits in several studies is also expected to be used in preconception care and counseling and to raise women's awareness of the benefits of the model. The number of studies conducted on MLCPCC in the literature is very few. Thus, we recommend that studies in which women receive MLCPCC should be conducted with larger populations.

**Table 5.** Distribution of the scores the participating women obtained from the form to assess women's knowledge and opinions of midwife-led continuity preconception care and counseling according to their pregnancy experience-related characteristics

Characteristics	n	$\overline{X}$ ±SD	Med (Min-Max)
The number of pregnancies			
Nulligravidae	67	41.19±10.13	46 (0-48)
1	45	42.82±8.12	46 (10-48)
2	38	42.37±9.7	46 (0-48)
≥3	28	41.93±8.2	46 (15-48)
Test*		KW=0.39	5 p=0.941
The number of deliveries			
Nulliparae	72	41.56±9.87	46 (0-48)
1	50	42.1±7.96	45 (10-48)
2	42	41.52±10.57	46 (0-48)
≥3	14	45±4.87	46 (32-48)
Test*		KW=1.51	1 p=0.680
Mode of delivery			
Not given birth yet	72	41.56±9.87	46 (0-48)
Vaginal	39	39.05±12.17	46 (0-48)
Caesarean section	56	44.29±5.18	46 (24-48)
Vaginal and caesarean section	11	43.27±6.07	46 (32-48)
Test*		KW=2.60	0 p=0.457
Receiving preconception care and counseling			
No	155	41.65±9.64	46 (0-48)
Yes	23	44.13±5.35	46 (30-48)
Test**		Z=-0.736	p=0.462

\*Kruskal Wallis test was used, \*\*Mann-Whitney U test was used.

# Declarations

### Acknowledgments

This study was presented as an oral presentation at the 5<sup>th</sup> International 6<sup>th</sup> National Midwifery Congress on 11-14 November 2021.

The authors would like to thank all of the individuals who participated in the study.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

Authors disclose no potential conflicts of interest.

### **Ethics Statement**

Before the study was conducted, we obtained the ethics committee approval from Sivas Cumhuriyet University's non-interventional clinical research ethics committee (Decision number: 2021-04/44, Date:14.04.2021) and written permission from the institution where the study was to be conducted (Commission Decision No. 2021/14).

## **Informed Consent**

Informed consent forms from all participants were collected online. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: ZBY, OH; methodology: ZBY, OH; software: ZBY, OH; validation: ZBY,OH; formal analysis: ZBY, OH; investigation: ZBY, OH; writing-orginal draft: ZBY, OH; writing-review&editing: ZBY, OH; visualization: ZBY, OH; supervision: ZBY, OH.

### Funding

Not Applicable.

### **Data Availability**

The data used to support the findings of this study can be made available upon request to the corresponding author.

#### References

- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. (2019). ACOG committee opinion no.762: prepregnancy counseling. *Obstet Gynecol,* 133(1), e78-89. https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.00000000003013
- Başli, M., & Aksu, H. (2018). Preconception care and counseling. *Ege* University Faculty of Nursing Journal, 34(3):128-140.
- Beckmann, M. M., Widmer, T., & Bolton, E. (2014). Does preconception care work? *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 54(6), 510-514. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajo.12224
- Betran, A. P., Temmerman, M., Kingdon, C., Mohiddin, A., Opiyo, N., Torloni, M. R., ... & Downe, S. (2018). Interventions to reduce unnecessary caesarean sections in healthy women and babies. *The Lancet, 392*(10155), 1358-1368. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31927-5
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2022). Before Pregnancy. CDC. https://www.cdc.gov/preconception/planning.html
- Chapman, A., Nagle, C., Bick, D., Lindberg, R., Kent, B., Calache, J., & Hutchinson, A.M. (2019). Maternity service organisational interventions that aim to reduce caesarean section: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*, 19, 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2351-2
- Czeizel, A. E. (2012). Experience of the Hungarian Preconception Service between 1984 and 2010. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*, *161*(1), 18-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2011.12.019
- Dean A.G., Sullivan K.M., & Soe M.M. (2021) OpenEpi: Open-Source Epidemiologic Statistics for Public Health, Version. www.OpenEpi.com, updated 2013/04/06. https://www.openepi.com/Menu/OE\_Menu.htm
- Dean, S. V., Lassi, Z. S., Imam, A. M., & Bhutta, Z. A. (2014). Preconception care: nutritional risks and interventions. *Reproductive health*, 11(3), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-4755-11-S3-S3
- Forster, D. A., McLachlan, H. L., Davey, M. A., Biro, M. A., Farrell, T., Gold, L., ... & Waldenström, U. (2016). Continuity of care by a primary midwife (caseload midwifery) increases women's satisfaction with antenatal, intrapartum and postpartum care: results from the COSMOS randomised controlled trial. *BMC pregnancy* and childbirth, 16, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-016-0798-y
- Fowler, J. R., Mahdy, H., & Jack, B. W (2022). Preconception counseling. Online Book. StatPearls Publishing 2022. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK441880/
- Fox, D., Scarf, V., Turkmani, S., Rossiter, C., Coddington, R., Sheehy, A., ... & Baird, K. (2023). Midwifery continuity of care for women with complex pregnancies in Australia: An integrative review. *Women* and Birth, 36(2), e187-e194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2022.07.001
- Gökdemir F, & Eryılmaz G. (2017). Preconceptional Health Services. *Türkiye Clinics J Obstet Womens Health Dis Nurs-Special Topics*, *3*(3): 204-212.
- Hanahoe, M. (2020). Midwifery-led care can lower caesarean section rates according to the Robson ten group classification system. *European Journal of Midwifery, 31*(4):7. https://doi.org/10.18332/ejm/119164
- International Confederation of Midwifes (2023). *ICM*.Trust the evidence: invest in midwives. https://www.internationalmidwives.org/icm-news/trust-theevidence-invest-in-midwives.html.
- Karakaya, E., & Coşkun, P. (2013). The Evaluation of Community-Based Safe Motherhood Application Performed In Province of Diyarbakır. *Journal of Education and Research in Nursing*, 10(2), 20-28.

- Lindgren, H., & Erlandsson, K. (2022). The MIDWIZE conceptual framework: a midwife-led care model that fits the Swedish health care system might after contextualization, fit others. *BMC research notes*, *15*(1), 306. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-022-06198-7
- McLachlan, H. L., Forster, D. A., Davey, M. A., Farrell, T., Flood, M., Shafiei, T., & Waldenström, U. (2016). The effect of primary midwife-led care on women's experience of childbirth: results from the COSMOS randomised controlled trial. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, *123*(3), 465-474. https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.13713
- Renfrew, M. J., McFadden, A., Bastos, M. H., Campbell, J., Channon, A. A., Cheung, N. F., ... & Declercq, E. (2014). Midwifery and quality care: findings from a new evidence-informed framework for maternal and newborn care. *The Lancet*, *384*(9948), 1129-1145. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60789-3
- Sandall, J., Soltani, H., Gates, S., Shennan, A., & Devane, D. (2016). Midwife-led continuity models versus other models of care for childbearing women. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, 15 (9): CD004667. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004667.pub5
- Shahid, S., Jan, R., Qureshi, R. N., & Rattani, S. (2014). A review related to midwifery led model of care. *Journal of General Practice*, 2(5),1.https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-9126.1000180
- Shawe, J., Delbaere, I., Ekstrand, M., Hegaard, H. K., Larsson, M., Mastroiacovo, P., ... & Tydén, T. (2015). Preconception care policy, guidelines, recommendations and services across six European countries: Belgium (Flanders), Denmark, Italy, the Netherlands, Sweden and the United Kingdom. *The European Journal of Contraception & Reproductive Health Care, 20*(2), 77-87. https://doi.org/10.3109/13625187.2014.990088
- Skogsdal, Y., Fadl, H., Cao, Y., Karlsson, J., & Tydén, T. (2019). An intervention in contraceptive counseling increased the knowledge about fertility and awareness of preconception health—a randomized controlled trial. Upsala journal of medical sciences, 124(3), 203-212. https://doi.org/10.1080/03009734.2019.1653407
- Turkey Ministry of Health (2019). Health Statistics Yearbook, Newsletter.

https://dosyamerkez.saglik.gov.tr/Eklenti/39024,haber-bulteni-2019pdf.pdf?0

- ten Hoope-Bender, P., de Bernis, L., Campbell, J., Downe, S., Fauveau, V., Fogstad, H., ... & Van Lerberghe, W. (2014). Improvement of maternal and newborn health through midwifery. *The Lancet*, *384*(9949), 1226-1235.https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60930-2
- Vincent, C. M., Spineli, L. M., Barlow, P., & Gross, M. M. (2022). Unplanned visits and midwife-led antenatal care. *European Journal* of Midwifery, 6(10):72. https://doi.org/10.18332/ejm/157160
- WHO & ICM (2021). The state of the world's midwifery 2021. https://www.unfpa.org/sites/default/files/pub-pdf/21-038-UNFPA-SoWMy2021-Report-ENv4302 0.pdf
- Wiegerinck, M. M., Van Der Goes, B. Y., Ravelli, A. C., Van Der Post, J. A., Buist, F. C., Tamminga, P., & Mol, B. W. (2018). Intrapartum and neonatal mortality among low-risk women in midwife-led versus obstetrician-led care in the Amsterdam region of the Netherlands: a propensity score matched study. *BMJ open*, 8(1): e018845. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018845
- World Health Organization. (2013). Meeting to develop a global consensus on preconception care to reduce maternal and childhood mortality and morbidity: World Health Organization Headquarters, Geneva, 6–7 February 2012: meeting report. https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/78067/978924150 5000\_eng.pdf?sequence=1
- World Health Organization. (2016). WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience. World Health Organization.https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/2507 96/9789241549912-eng.pdf?sequence=1